THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE B. SUDERSHAN REDDY

AND

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE S. ANANDA REDDY

Date: 15—09—2005

W.P. No. 20024 of 2005

Between:

M/s. Maruthi Constructions,

Rep. by its Managing Director, Vijayawada …Petitioner.

A n d

The Additional Commissioner (CT),

Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad and another

…Respondents.

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE B. SUDERSHAN REDDY

AND

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE S. ANANDA REDDY

W.P. No. 20024 of 2005

O R D E R: (per Sri B. Sudershan Reddy, J)

We are not inclined to interfere with the impugned order passed by the respondent No.1 refusing to grant stay as prayed for by the petitioner. Such interlocutory orders are not normally interfered with by this Court in exercise of its jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India. It is not as if the impugned order had resulted in any irreversible situation requiring interference of this Court.

We do not find any extraordinary reason to interfere with the interlocutory order passed by the respondent No.1 rejecting the stay application filed by the petitioner.

However, the petitioner undertakes to deposit the balance of the amount within a period of three weeks. In such view of the matter, we direct the respondents not to take any coercive steps for a period of three weeks, in order to enable the petitioner to deposit the amount.

The writ petition is accordingly dismissed subject to the above direction.

_____

B. Sudershan Reddy, J.

_____ **S. Ananda Reddy, J.**

Date: 15—09—2005.

Note:

Operative portion by wire

(B/O)

MVB.